

UNITED STATE. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Palent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/375, 702 08/16/99 RATNAM P ALSCPUU3/B-6

022434 MMC1/0602 BEYER WEAVER THOMAS & NGUYEN LLP P O BOX 130 MOUNTAIN VIEW CA 94042-0130 EXAMINER
FEARS, I

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2824

DATE MAILED:

06/02/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)		<u> </u>	
Office Action Summary	9/375702	09/375702 RA		TNAM	
	Examiner	. 4.5	Group Art Unit		
	10	ARS 2824			
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	orrespondence add	ress	
Period for Reply	7				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILIN	IG DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied If NO'period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut 	oly within the statutory minimexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from	num of thirty (30) in the mailing dat	days will be considered e of this communication	timely.	
Statu s					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·	
☐ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935			the merits is close	d in	
Disposition of Claims					
Ø Claim(s)		is/are ¡	is/are pending in the application.		
				ideration.	
			is/are allowed.		
Claim(s)	•	is/are	rejected.		
Claim(s) 1-25		is/are	objected to.		
☐ Claim(s)			bject to restriction or	election	
Application Papers		require	ement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapprove	d.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ed to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ed to by the Examiner.				
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	ed to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)	• •			
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers) 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) he priority documents h	ave been			
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) he priority documents h	ave been			
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers) 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) he priority documents h r) mational Bureau (PCT l	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbe □ received in this national stage application from the Inte *Certified copies not received: 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) he priority documents h r) mational Bureau (PCT l	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbe □ received in this national stage application from the Inte *Certified copies not received: 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) he priority documents h r) mational Bureau (PCT I	ave been	·		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certified copies not received: *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a). he priority documents h r) mational Bureau (PCT I	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	n, PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 09/375,702

Art Unit: 2824

Note the drafting criticisms.

The abstract should be in a single paragraph.

Claims 1-25 are objected to for being unclear in claim 1 as to the several "voltage pulse" recitations of lines 4-6.

The "said multiple voltage pulse" appears to refer to the "multiple step voltage pulse" but does not have a clear antecedent basis.

Claim 2 is unclear in calling for first and second time intervals which are substantially coincidental. Wouldn't this be considered the same interval?

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Sung et al or Hara.

The reference show memory erase systems using the pulses described by applicants claims. No clearly defined method steps are seen which define over these references.

Fears/ds

05/26/00

Page 2